- 11		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	MARGARET A. LAFKO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278	
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266	
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2066 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE T BOARD OF PHA	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3037
14	RODOLFO GOMEZ, TCH 115 E 4th St	
15	National City, CA 91950	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52962	[Gov. Code, §11520]
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	<u>FINDINGS OI</u>	
20	1. On or about March 12, 2007, Compla	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pl	
22	filed Accusation No. 3037 against Rodolfo Gomez,	TCH (Respondent) before the Board of
23	Pharmacy.	
24	2. On or about December 17, 2003, the	Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 52062 to Respond	lent. The registration was in full force and
26	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought he	rein and will expire on February 28, 2009,
27	unless renewed.	
28		

- 3. On or about March 15, 2007, Tess Bautista, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 3037, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 1115 E 4th St, National City, CA 91950. A copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as exhibit A, and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. On or about March 16, 2007, the certified mailing was signed; the first class mailing has not been returned." A copy of the green postal receipt document is attached hereto as exhibit B, and incorporated herein by reference.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The Respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the Respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of Respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3037.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) If the Respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the Respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to Respondent."
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in exhibits A, B and C, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 3037 are true.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52062, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Rodolfo Gomez, TCH, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective on June 20, 2007 8 It is so ORDERED May 21, 2007 9 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 By WILLIAM POWERS 15 **Board President** .16 Attachments: 17 Accusation No.3037, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service 18 Exhibit A: Exhibit B: Postal Return Documents Certificate of Costs - Declaration of Susan Fitzgerald 19 Exhibit C: 20 DOJ docket number:SD2006802737 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

#### Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3037, Related Documents and Declaration of Service

- 11		
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
2	MARGARET A. LAFKO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278	
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266	
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2066 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE ' BOARD OF PH	ARMACY
11	DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL	ISUMER AFFAIRS LIFORNIA
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3037
14	RODOLFO GOMEZ, TCH 1115 E 4th St	ACCUSATION
15	National City, CA 91950	
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52062	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTI	<u>ES</u>
21	Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	gs this Accusation solely in her official
22	capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Boa	ard of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer
23	Affairs.	
24	2. On or about December 17, 2003, the	Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy
25	Technician Registration Number TCH 52062 to Ro	dolfo Gomez, TCH (Respondent). The
26	Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force	and effect at all times relevant to the charges
27	brought herein and will expire on February 28, 200	9, unless renewed.
28		
	•	

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - A. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

\*\* . . . .

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a

plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, 1 or indictment. (Emphasis added.) 2 " . . . " 3 Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a license В. 4 shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period 5 within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. 6 Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the C. 7 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations 8 of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. 10 This Accusation also refers to California Health and Safety Code (H&S Code) 4. 11 section 11350(a) which provides that it is a crime to possess a controlled substance unless upon 12 the written prescription of certain health care professionals. 13 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states: 5. 14 "For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license 15 pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a 16 crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a 17 licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a 18 licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a 19 manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare." 20 CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES 21 Heroin is a Schedule I controlled substance pursuant to H&S Code §11054. 6. 22 Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance pursuant to H&S Code §11054. 7. 23 24 /// 25 /// /// 26 27 /// /// 28

#### CHARGES AND ALLEGATIONS

#### First Cause for Discipline

(Unprofessional Conduct: March 8, 2006 Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime - Incident Date: 12/7/05)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(l) for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician, as more particularly alleged below:
- A. On or about January 10, 2006, in San Diego Superior Court Case No. **CS 198666**, *People v. Rodolfo Lorenzo Gomez*, Respondent pled guilty to a felony violation of H&S Code section 11359 (possession of marijuana for sale).
- B. At the time of sentencing, among other conditions, Respondent was sentenced to 3 years formal probation; 180 days in jail, stayed; 30 days public works service; and various fines.
- C. The record of conviction is conclusive evidence, without more, of unprofessional conduct under Pharmacy Board law because the crime concerns violation of a state law regulating controlled substances (to wit, H&S Code §11359).
- D. . When stopped by the National City police on December 7, 2005, Respondent attempted to dispose of a baggie which subsequently was found to contain over 240 grams of marijuana. He also possessed at the time an electronic scale and two cell phones.

#### Second Cause for Discipline

#### (Unprofessional Conduct: Violation of Controlled Substance Law)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction with H&S Code section 11359 in that his conviction establishes his violation of a state statute that regulates controlled substances, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 8 above and incorporated here by reference.
- 25 | ///

22

23

24

- 26 | ///
- 27 | ///
- 28 ///

#### 

#### 

# 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### | |///

#### Third Cause for Discipline

#### (Unprofessional Conduct: March 24, 2006 Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime - Incident Date: 2/23/06)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(l) for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician, as more particularly alleged below:
- A. On or about March 24, 2006, in San Diego Superior Court Case No. CS 2000593, *People v. Rodolfo Lorenzo Gomez*, Respondent pled guilty to a felony violation of H&S Code section 11350(a) (possession of a controlled substance heroin).
- B. At the time of sentencing, formal probation was granted pursuant to Penal Code section 1210 and imposition of sentence was suspended for 3 years. The terms and conditions of the probation included, among others, 2 days in jail; fines; restitution; an order to participate and successfully complete a drug treatment program; to attend AA/NA or other self-help groups; to not use or possess any controlled substance; and to totally abstain from alcohol use.
- C. On or about April 21, 2006, Respondent violated probation, admitted the violation, and probation was continued on the same terms and conditions, with the sanctions held in abeyance by the court.
- D. On December 11, 2006, Respondent was sentenced after a revocation of probation hearing. Penal Code section 1210 was terminated, and probation was reinstated and extended until December 11, 2009. Respondent was sentenced to serve 150 days in jail with 62 days credit for time served.
- E. The record of conviction is conclusive evidence, without more, of unprofessional conduct under Pharmacy Board law because the crime concerns violation of a state law regulating controlled substances (to wit, H&S Code §11359).
- F. On February 23, 2006 Respondent possessed a useable amount of heroin, which he attempted to dispose of without the police seeing it, when he was confronted by the National City police for being parked in a handicapped parking stall with no visible handicap-parking

placard. Respondent admitted to San Diego Superior Court that he had possessed a useable amount of heroin.

#### Fourth Cause for Discipline

#### (Unprofessional Conduct: Violation of Controlled Substance Law)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) in conjunction with H&S Code section 11350(a) in that his conviction establishes his violation of a state statute that regulates controlled substances, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 10 above and incorporated here by reference.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 52062, issued to Rodolfo Gomez, TCH;
- 2. Ordering Rodolfo Gomez, TCH to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

dated: <u>3/12/07</u>

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

- 11	II	
1 2 3 4 5	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN L. FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
6 7 8	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 7 Telephone: (619) 645-2066 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
9	Attorneys for Complainant	
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AT	FFAIRS
12	2	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No.	
14	RODOLFO GOMEZ, TCH STATEM	MENT TO RESPONDENT
15	Respondent.   Gov. Co	de §§ 11504, 11505(b)]
16	·	
17		
18	0.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n filed with the Board of
19		
20		
21	11 D 4 All and an Company	
22	0.1	
23	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
24		
25		
26	forms entitled "Notice of Defense," or by delivering or mailing a	a Notice of Defense as provided
27		
28	28 ///	

Susan L. Fitzgerald Deputy Attorney General 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101

P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266.

You may, but need not, be represented by counsel at any or all stages of these proceedings.

The enclosed Notice of Defense, if signed and filed with the Board, shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the Accusation, but you will not be permitted to raise any objection to the form of the Accusation unless you file a further Notice of Defense as provided in section 11506 of the Government Code within fifteen (15) days after service of the Accusation on you.

If you file any Notice of Defense within the time permitted, a hearing will be held on the charges made in the Accusation.

The hearing may be postponed for good cause. If you have good cause, you are obliged to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1350 Front Street, Suite 6022, San Diego, CA 92101, within ten (10) working days after you discover the good cause. Failure to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days will deprive you of a postponement.

Copies of sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 of the Government Code are enclosed.

If you desire the names and addresses of witnesses or an opportunity to inspect and copy the items mentioned in section 11507.6 of the Government Code in the possession, custody or control of the Board you may send a Request for Discovery to the above designated Deputy Attorney General.

#### NOTICE REGARDING STIPULATED SETTLEMENTS

It may be possible to avoid the time, expense and uncertainties involved in an administrative hearing by disposing of this matter through a stipulated settlement. A stipulated settlement is a binding written agreement between you and the government regarding the matters

charged and the discipline to be imposed. Such a stipulation would have to be approved by the Board of Pharmacy but, once approved, it would be incorporated into a final order.

Any stipulation must be consistent with the Board's established disciplinary guidelines; however, all matters in mitigation or aggravation will be considered. A copy of the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines will be provided to you on your written request to the state agency bringing this action.

If you are interested in pursuing this alternative to a formal administrative hearing, or if you have any questions, you or your attorney should contact Deputy Attorney General Susan L. Fitzgerald at the earliest opportunity.

\*\*\*\*\*

SD2006802737 80120815.wpd

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Acc	usation Against:	Case No. 3037
RODOLFO GOMEZ, To	СН	NOTICE OF DEFENSE
	Respondent.	[Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]
copy of the Accusation;	Statement to Respondent; Go	titled proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a vernment Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and vo copies of a Notice of Defense.
I hereby request Accusation,	a hearing to permit me to pres	ent my defense to the charges contained in the
DATED:		
Respondent's Na		
Respondent's Sig		
Respondent's M		
City, State and Z	ip Code	
· ·	elephone Number	
Check appropriate box	y•	
Check appropriate box		
□ I do not consent	to electronic reporting.	
box to indicate the reported by a stern consent to electrons for hearing, by a counsel for Compart on the Of	hat you do not consent to elect nographic reporter. If you do onic recording at any point up written statement served on the plainant. If the box is not che fice of Administrative Hearin	reported/recorded, unless you check the above-left tronic recording, in which case the hearing will be not check this box, you may withdraw your to fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the date set the Office of Administrative Hearings and on ecked, and no written withdrawal of consent is g and on counsel for Complainant by fifteen (15) any right to stenographic reporting.
☐ I am represented  Counsel's Name		lress and telephone number appear below:
Counsel's Mailin		
City, State and Z		
Counsel's Telep	•	

	,	I am not now represented by counsel. If and when counsel is retained, immediate notification of
•		the attorney's name, address and telephone number will be filed with the Office of
		Administrative Hearing and a copy sent to counsel for Complainant so that counsel will be on
		record to receive legal notices, pleadings and other papers.

The agency taking the action described in the Accusation may have formulated guidelines to assist the administrative law judge in reaching an appropriate penalty. You may obtain a copy of the guidelines by requesting them from the agency in writing.

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the	Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3037
RODO	DLFO GOMEZ, TCH	NOTICE OF DEFENSE
	Respondent.	[Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]
copy 0	I, the undersigned Respondent in the above-enof the Accusation; Statement to Respondent; Go. 7, Complainant's Request for Discovery; and to	ntitled proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a overnment Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and wo copies of a Notice of Defense.
Accus		sent my defense to the charges contained in the
	DATED:	
	Respondent's Name	
	Respondent's Signature	
	Respondent's Mailing Address	
	City, State and Zip Code	·
	Respondent's Telephone Number	
Checl	k appropriate box:	
	I do not consent to electronic reporting.	
	box to indicate that you do not consent to electropreted by a stenographic reporter. If you do consent to electronic recording at any point up for hearing, by a written statement served on counsel for Complainant. If the box is not ch	the Office of Administrative Hearings and on ecked, and no written withdrawal of consent is and on counsel for Complainant by fifteen (15)
	I am represented by counsel, whose name, ad	dress and telephone number appear below:
	Counsel's Name	
	Counsel's Mailing Address	
	City, State and Zip Code	
	Counsel's Telephone Number	

I am not now represented by counsel. If and when counsel is retained, immediate notification of
the attorney's name, address and telephone number will be filed with the Office of
Administrative Hearing and a copy sent to counsel for Complainant so that counsel will be on
record to receive legal notices, pleadings and other papers.

The agency taking the action described in the Accusation may have formulated guidelines to assist the administrative law judge in reaching an appropriate penalty. You may obtain a copy of the guidelines by requesting them from the agency in writing.

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	MARGARET ANN LAFKO Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	SUSAN L. FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278 Deputy Attorney General	
4	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2066 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE 7	THE
10	BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CON	ARMACY
11	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3037
13	RODOLFO GOMEZ, TCH	REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY
14	Respondent.	[Gov. Code § 11507.6]
15		
16		
17	TO RESPONDENT:	
18	Under section 11507.6 of the Govern	ment Code of the State of California, parties
19	to an administrative hearing, including the Complain	nant, are entitled to certain information
20	concerning the opposing party's case. A copy of the	provisions of section 11507.6 of the
21	Government Code concerning such rights is include	d among the papers served.
22	PURSUANT TO SECTION 11507.6	OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE, YOU
23	ARE HEREBY REQUESTED TO:	
24	1. Provide the names and addresses of v	witnesses to the extent known to the
25	Respondent, including, but not limited to, those inte	nded to be called to testify at the hearing, and
26	2. Provide an opportunity for the Comp	lainant to inspect and make a copy of any of
27	the following in the possession or custody or under	control of the Respondent:
28	///	

- a. A statement of a person, other than the Respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the Respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;
- b. A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or persons;
- c. Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the Respondent and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;
- d. All writings, including but not limited to reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the Respondent now proposes to offer in evidence;
- e. Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence, including but not limited to, any patient or hospital records pertaining to the persons named in the pleading;
- f. Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the Respondent pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this Request for Discovery, "statements" include written statements by the person, signed, or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED that nothing in this Request for Discovery should be deemed to authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which

is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as attorney's work product.

Your response to this Request for Discovery should be directed to the undersigned attorney for the Complainant at the address on the first page of this Request for Discovery within 30 days after service of the Accusation.

Failure without substantial justification to comply with this Request for Discovery may subject the Respondent to sanctions pursuant to sections 11507.7 and 11455.10 to 11455.30 of the Government Code.

DATED: March 14, 2007

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California

MARGARET ANN LAFKO Supervising Deputy Attorney General

dum Fizerall

SUSAN L. FITZGERALD Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

#### COPY OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11507.5, 11507.6 AND 11507.7 PROVIDED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11504 AND 11505

#### SECTION 11507.5: Exclusivity of discovery provisions

The provisions of Section 11507.6 provide the exclusive right to and method of discovery as to any proceeding governed by this chapter.

#### SECTION 11507.6: Request for discovery

After initiation of a proceeding in which a respondent or other party is entitled to a hearing on the merits, a party, upon written request made to another party, prior to the hearing and within 30 days after service by the agency of the initial pleading or within 15 days after the service of an additional pleading, is entitled to (1) obtain the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the other party, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing, and (2) inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under the control of the other party:

(a) A statement of a person, other than the respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;

(b) A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to

another party or person;

(c) Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the party and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;

(d) All writings, including, but not limited to, reports of mental, physical and blood

examinations and things which the party then proposes to offer in evidence;

(e) Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence;

(f) Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the agency or other party pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this section, "statements" include written statements by the person signed or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

Nothing in this section shall authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as the attorney's work product.

#### SECTION 11507.7: Petition to compel discovery; Order; Sanctions

- (a) Any party claiming the party's request for discovery pursuant to Section 11507.6 has not been complied with may serve and file with the administrative law judge a motion to compel discovery, naming as respondent the party refusing or failing to comply with Section 11507.6. The motion shall state facts showing the respondent party failed or refused to comply with Section 11507.6, a description of the matters sought to be discovered, the reason or reasons why the matter is discoverable under that section, that a reasonable and good faith attempt to contact the respondent for an informal resolution of the issue has been made, and the ground or grounds of respondent's refusal so far as known to the moving party.
- (b) The motion shall be served upon respondent party and filed within 15 days after the respondent party first evidenced failure or refusal to comply with Section 11507.6 or within 30 days after request was made and the party has failed to reply to the request, or within another time provided by stipulation, whichever period is longer.
- (c) The hearing on the motion to compel discovery shall be held within 15 days after the motion is made, or a later time that the administrative law judge may on the judge's own motion for good cause determine. The respondent party shall have the right to serve and file a written answer or other response to the motion before or at the time of the hearing.
- (d) Where the matter sought to be discovered is under the custody or control of the respondent party and the respondent party asserts that the matter is not a discoverable matter under the provisions of Section 11507.6, or is privileged against disclosure under those provisions, the administrative law judge may order lodged with it matters provided in subdivision (b) of Section 915 of the Evidence Code and examine the matters in accordance with its provisions.
- (e) The administrative law judge shall decide the case on the matters examined in camera, the papers filed by the parties, and such oral argument and additional evidence as the administrative law judge may allow.
- (f) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the administrative law judge shall no later than 15 days after the hearing make its order denying or granting the motion. The order shall be in writing setting forth the matters the moving party is entitled to discover under Section 11507.6. A copy of the order shall forthwith be served by mail by the administrative law judge upon the parties. Where the order grants the motion in whole or in part, the order shall not become effective until 10 days after the date the order is served. Where the order denies relief to the moving party, the order shall be effective on the date it is served.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

(Separate Mailings)

Case Name:

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Rodolfo Gomez, TCH

Case No.:

3037

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On March 14, 2007, I served the attached Accusation, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense (2 copies), Request for Discovery and Discovery Statutes by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope as certified mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and return receipt requested, and another true copy of the Accusation, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense (2 copies), Request for Discovery and Discovery Statutes was enclosed in a second sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 110 West A Street, Suite 1100, P.O. Box 85266, San Diego, CA 92186-5266, addressed as follows:

Rodolfo Gomez, TCH 1115 E 4<sup>th</sup> Street National City, CA 91950 Certified Article Number 7160 3901 9849 7187 3676 SENDERS RECORD

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on March 14, 2007, at San Diego, California.

Tess Bautista

Declarant

Signature

cc: Virginia Herold, Interim Executive Officer, Board of Pharmacy - Sacramento

Exhibit B
Postal Receipt Document

#### 7160 3901 9849 7187 3676

TO<sub>Rodolfo Gomez</sub>
1115 E 4<sup>th</sup> Street
National City, CA 91950

SENDER:

Susan L. Fitzgerald

REFERENCE:

SD2006802737

PS Form 38	00, January 2005		
RETURN	Postage	:	
RECEIPT	Certified Fee		à'
SERVICE	Return Receipt Fee		
\$4 (4 9 85 15	Restricted Delivery		
	Total Postage & Fees	3	
US Po	stal Service	POSTMAR	K OR DATE
Rec	eipt for		
Certif	fied Mail		
	Coverage Provided or International Mail		مارس المساور ا
regraphistics for the property of the property		ann ar each in that is the name of the second of the secon	on to be extracted. What is the Book of the extraction of the contraction of the contract

2. Article Number	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
7160 3901 9849 7167 3676	A. Received by (Please Print Clearly)  A. Received by (Please Print Clearly)  B. Date of Delivery  3
3. Service Type CERTIFIED MAIL	
4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes	
Article Addressed to:	
Rodolfo Gomez	
1115 E 4 <sup>th</sup> Street	
National City, CA 91950	
	SD2006802737
	Susan L. Fitzgerald
PS Form 3811, January 2005 Domestic F	Return Receipt

#### Exhibit C

Certification of Costs - Declaration of Susan Fitzgerald

li li	1	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	MARGARET A. LAFKO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278	
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266	
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2066	
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
9	Attorneys for Complainant	
	BEFORE T	
10	BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CONS	SUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALI	IFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3037
13	RODOLFO GOMEZ, TCH	
14	Respondent.	CERTIFICATION OF COSTS: DECLARATION OF SUSAN FITZGERALD
15		
16	<u>=</u>	[Business and Professions Code section 125.3]
17		
18		
19	I, SUSAN FITZGERALD, hereby declare an	d certify as follows:
20	1. I am a Deputy Attorney General empl	loyed by the California Department of Justic
21	(DOJ), Office of the Attorney General (Office). I am	n assigned to the Licensing Section in the
22	Civil Division of the Office. I have been designated	as the representative to certify the costs of
23	prosecution by DOJ and incurred by the Board of Ph	armacy in this case. I make this certification
24	in my official capacity and as an officer of the court	and as a public employee pursuant to
25	Evidence Code section 664.	
26	2. I represent the Complainant, Virginia	Herold, Executive Officer of the Board of
27	Pharmacy, in this action. I was assigned to handle the	his case on or around November 17, 2006.
0.0	,	

- 3. My office's computerized case management system reflects that I and a paralegal performed tasks related to this matter.
- 4. I am familiar with the time recording and billing practices of DOJ and the procedure for charging the client agency for the reasonable and necessary work performed on a particular case. It is the duty of the time keeping employees to keep track of the time spent and to report that time in DOJ's computerized case management system at or near the time of the tasks performed.
- 5. On April 17, 2007, I requested a billing summary for this case from the Accounting Department of the DOJ. In response, on April 17, 2007, I received a document entitled "Cost-of-Suit Summary." I hereby certify that the Cost-of-Suit Summary, attached hereto as Exhibit A and herein incorporated by reference, is a true and correct copy of the billing summary for this matter that I received from the Accounting Department. The summary includes the billing costs incurred by me and the paralegal, the amount of time billed for the activity, and the billing rate by professional type. The billing summary is comprehensive of the charges by the Office to the Board of Pharmacy through April 8, 2007. It does not include billing for tasks performed after April 8, 2007.
- 6. Based upon the time reported through April 8, 2007, as set forth in Exhibit A, DOJ has billed the Board of Pharmacy 933.75 for the time spent working on the above entitled case.
- 7. To the best of my knowledge the items of cost set forth in this certification are correct and were necessarily incurred in this case.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 17, 2007, in the City of San Diego, California.

SUSAN FITZGERALD (Deputy Attorney General

Declarant

# EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
1300 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Billing Inquiries: (916) 324-5090

**Grand Total:** Cost-of-Suit: Total Legal Costs: Date Onened: 12/01/2006 As Of 04/17/2007 Cost-of-Suit Summary

\$933.75 \$0.00

\$933.75

Amount

Reference

Schedule

	Matter ID: SD2006802737 Description Gomez, Rodolfo, TCH	SD2( Gome	0068) 22, Ro	02737 dolfo, TCH	Date	Date Opened: 12/01/2006	2/01/2006		
	Matter Time Activity Summary	Activit	y Sur	mmary		Gost-of-Suit	Ħ		
_	A	Rate	Hrs Wrkd	kd	Amount	Entry #	Journal Dat	Journal Date Vendor#	Ver
	Professional Type: Attorney	rpe: Att	orney			Client Agency:	y:		
	FY: 2006-2007					띮			
	\$158.00	. 00:	5.	5.75	\$908.50	Compon	Component Description:	on:	
	Ā	FY 2006-2007 Total:	07 Tot	al:	\$908.50		-		
		Attorn	Attorney Total:	al:	\$908.50				
	Professional Type: Legal Assistant	pe: Lec	gal Ass	istant					
	FY: 2006-2007								

\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total:	FY Total:	Client Agency Total:	Cost-of-Suit:
200			Territorias Alberta Personalis Indiana.

\$25.25

0.25

\$101.00

FY 2006-2007 Total: Legal Assistant Total:

\$25.25

Total Legal Gosts:

\$0.00

Page 1 of 1